UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

L. Case No. C 09-00500 JW

STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT

[L.R. 6-1(a)]

TO ALL PARTIES HEREIN AND TO THEIR RESPECTIVE ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

This Stipulation is made pursuant to Local Rule 6-1(a) and is made by and between Plaintiffs MARK MURILLO and TAMI MURILLO (hereinafter "Plaintiffs") and Defendant GMAC MORTGAGE, LLC (hereinafter "GMAC"), by and through their respective counsel of record. The

A. GMAC's response to the Complaint in this action was originally due on February 13,

STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

]	B.	On or about February 11, 2009, GMAC contacted Plaintiffs to request an extension of
time to respond to the Superior Court Complaint up to and including February 27, 2009.		
(C.	Later that same day, GMAC learned that the above captioned action had been
removed to this Court from the Santa Clara County Superior Court Case No. 108CV131638.		
]	D.	On or about February 12, 2009, Plaintiffs' counsel agreed to extend GMAC's time to

- respond to the Complaint in the instant Court up to and including February 27, 2009.
- E. On or about February 26, 2009, GMAC contacted Plaintiffs to request an extension of time to respond to the Superior Court Complaint up to and including March 3, 2009.
- F. On or about February 26, 2009, Plaintiffs' counsel agreed to extend GMAC's time to respond to the Complaint in the instant Court up to and including March 3, 2009.
- G. This Stipulation does not alter the date of any event or any deadline already fixed by the Court.

WHEREFORE, the parties to this action agree and stipulate that GMAC has up to and including March 3, 2009 to respond to Plaintiffs' Complaint.

DATED: February 26, 2009

WOLFE & WYMAN LLP

STUART B. WOLFE ALICE M. DOSTÁLOVÁ NATILEE S. RIEDMAN

Attorneys for Defendant

GMAC MORTGAGE, LLC

DATED: February 26, 2009

THE LITIGATION LAW GROUP

By:

LAWRENCE P. RAMIREZ, ESQ. HENRY CHUANG, ESQ.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

MARK MURILLO and TAMI L. MURILLO

ORDER

The Court, having read and considered the foregoing Stipulation, being fully advised, and good cause appearing, ORDERS that GMAC shall have up to an including March 3, 2009 to file a response to Plaintiffs' Complaint in this matter.

Dated: March 4, 2009.

HONORABLE JAMES WARE UNITED STATES COURT DISTRICT JUDGE